UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,848	01/08/2004	German Trabada	10121/01301	6030
Fay Kaplun & N	7590 01/25/201 Marcin. LLP	EXAMINER		
Suite 702	,	LANG, AMY T		
150 Broadway New York, NY	10038	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/25/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/753,848	TRABADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
AMY LANG	3731	

	AWIT LANG	3/31	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>12 January 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second s	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 Soo attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amondment (PTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		impliant Amendment (1 10L-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8.10-13.22.24 and 25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/TODD E. MANAHAN/	/AMY LANG/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3776	Examiner, Art Unit 3731		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant first argues that Middleman does not disclose the guide track as claimed and that Middleman would not find utility with such a guide track. However, Middleman does teach an anchoring device (30, 56) that moves along tubular elements (18 and 20) (column 5, lines 55-61). Therefore, these tubular elements provide a sort of guide track for the anchoring device. Futhermore, Middleman is not used to provide the same guide track as claimed but merely to show that it is well known in the art for anchoring means to move along a guide track. Next Applicant argues that a drive mechanism with the Cohen anchoring device would serve no useful purpose and be detrimental to the device since it would prevent inflation of the balloon. This is not found persuasive since it seems as though the balloon inflation lumen would move with the balloon anchoring device so that a drive mechanism within the device would not be detrimental. Such movement would be useful since it would allow the balloon to be anchored at multiple positions along the guide track. Applicant also argues that the cited references do not teach the anchoring module located within the anchoring module. Ritcher provides this feature since Ritcher teaches it is well known in the art for a drive mechanism to be located within the device. Lastly, Applicant argues that Kindlein and Zeigler fail to teach a threaded member for engaging a contact surface and rotating about the guide track, and wherein the threaded member includes a threaded hole. However, Klindlein teaches drive wheels (31, 33a, 33b, 33c, 33d) that create a hole through which a needle is advanced and retracted so that Klindlein teaches wheel members that can guide and move a needle. Although Klindlein does not teach the wheels as threaded, such is well known in the art. Zeigler discloses threaded wheel members (542) that produce rotational movement along a guide track.